

**MINUTES of MEETING of ARGYLL AND BUTE LOCAL REVIEW BODY held in the  
COMMITTEE ROOM 1, KILMORY, LOCHGILPHEAD  
on MONDAY, 11 JUNE 2018**

**Present:** Councillor David Kinniburgh (Chair)  
Councillor Robin Currie Councillor Donald MacMillan

**Attending:** Iain Jackson, Governance and Risk Manager (Adviser)  
Fiona McCallum, Committee Services Officer (Minutes)

**1. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE**

There were no apologies for absence.

**2. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

There were no declarations of interest.

**3. CONSIDER NOTICE OF REVIEW REQUEST: LAND WEST OF LANDFILL SITE, GLENGORM ROAD, ISLE OF MULL (REF: 18/0003/LRB)**

The Chair welcomed everyone to the meeting and, referring to the further information received since the Local Review Body (LRB) met on 18 April 2018, he advised that his first task would be to establish if the Members of the LRB felt that they had sufficient information before them to come to a decision on the Review. Councillor Currie and Councillor MacMillan both confirmed that they felt they had enough information before them.

Councillor Currie pointed out that the Applicant was seeking to have the road width kept at 5.5 metres as per condition 3 which had already been complied with. It was further noted that they were seeking removal of the need for a pavement and verge on either side of the road.

Councillor Kinniburgh noted from the comments submitted by the Roads Officer that only in exceptional cases could the need for a footway be waived. He confirmed he believed there was an exceptional case. He pointed out that the Roads Officer had indicated that foot traffic would be low. He also pointed out that the location of the landfill site was not on a bus route and that anyone visiting would drive to the site.

The Members of the LRB agreed to adjourn the meeting and 1.25 pm to see if it would be possible to prepare a competent Motion to amend condition 4.

The LRB reconvened at 4.25 pm in the Council Chamber, Kilmory, Lochgilphead.

Mr Jackson confirmed that he had spoken with the Head of Planning, Housing and Regulatory Services and his advice was that there would be a need for further information in order to make an argument for an exceptional case. Mr Jackson also confirmed that he had contacted the Roads Officer and he had said that he was looking for the works to be carried out on the road from the junction right through the site to the last point in the site. He advised that the road had to be at least 5.5

metres wide with a 2 metre footway on one side and a 2 metre verge on the other side in the interests of the safety of pedestrians and the safety of vehicles.

Mr Jackson advised the LRB that there appeared to be some confusion as to what condition 4 actually required on reading the submissions from the Applicant, the Planning Officer and the Roads Officer and he suggested that it may be helpful if the LRB sought clarification on this before deciding whether or not it was possible to vary the terms of the condition.

### **Decision**

The Argyll and Bute LRB agreed:-

1. to request the following further written information –
  - (a) clarification from the Roads Officer of the actual requirements of condition 4 and any issues envisaged by Roads if the requirements of this condition were not met;
  - (b) confirmation from the Applicant and from the Roads Officer of the likely numbers of pedestrians visiting the site based on the types of units and the proposed use of these units;
  - (c) ask the Planning Officer to comment on the statement made by the Applicant that planning consent was granted based on a site layout of a 6 metre wide road and a 1 metre verge (page 17 of Agenda Pack for this meeting); and
  - (d) ask the Roads Officer to confirm whether or not there will be a requirement for the road within the site to be adopted.

(Reference: Further written submission from the Roads Officer and comment from the Applicant, submitted)